

# Generalized Polynomial Division with Partial Fractions Residue and Applications to Autonomous Differential Equations

Justin Paul Skycak

June 22, 2014

## Abstract

Add abstract

## 1 Introduction

Insert introduction

## 2 Preliminary Lemmas

### 2.1 Lemma 1

The partial fractions decomposition of  $a(x) = \frac{1}{(x+R_1)^{r_1}(x+R_2)^{r_2}\dots(x+R_m)^{r_m}}$ , where each  $R_\rho$  is complex, is

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{J}} \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{r_\rho} \frac{\text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0,0,\rho,k)}{(x+R_\rho)^k} \right], \text{ where}$$

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{J}(0,0,1,1) & \dots & \mathcal{J}(0,0,1,r_1) & \mathcal{J}(0,0,2,1) & \dots & \mathcal{J}(0,0,2,r_2) & \dots & \mathcal{J}(0,0,m,1) & \dots & \mathcal{J}(0,0,m,r_m) \\ \mathcal{J}(1,1,1,1) & \dots & \mathcal{J}(1,1,1,r_1) & \mathcal{J}(1,1,2,1) & \dots & \mathcal{J}(1,1,2,r_2) & \dots & \mathcal{J}(1,1,m,1) & \dots & \mathcal{J}(1,1,m,r_m) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\ell_{max}(m)}{\ell_{max}(m)}, m, 1\right) & \dots & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\ell_{max}(m)}{\ell_{max}(m)}, m, r_m\right) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\ell_{max}(2)}{\ell_{max}(2)}, 2, 1\right) & \dots & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\ell_{max}(2)}{\ell_{max}(2)}, 2, r_2\right) & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\ell_{max}(1)}{\ell_{max}(1)}, 1, 1\right) & \dots & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\ell_{max}(1)}{\ell_{max}(1)}, 1, r_1\right) & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{max}(1)}, 1, 1\right) & \dots & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{max}(1)}, 1, r_1\right) & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{max}(2)}, 2, 1\right) & & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{max}(2)}, 2, r_2\right) & & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{max}(m)}, m, 1\right) & \dots & \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{max}(m)}, m, r_m\right) \\ & & & & & & & & & \text{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}(y, x, \rho, k) = \sum_{\ell=\max\{0, y-\sum_{i \neq \rho} r_\rho\}}^x \left[ \binom{r_\rho - k}{\ell} R_\rho^{r_\rho - k - \ell} \sum_{\sum q_i = y - \ell, q_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \prod_{i=1, i \neq \rho}^m \binom{r_i}{q_i} R_i^{r_i - q_i} \right]. \quad (2)$$

Then we may write

**Proof:** Partial fractions decomposition (with complex partial fractions coefficients  $\Delta_\ell^p$ ) yields

$$a(x) = \frac{\Delta_1^1}{x+R_1} + \dots + \frac{\Delta_{r_1}^1}{(x+R_1)^{r_1}} + \frac{\Delta_1^2}{x+R_2} + \dots + \frac{\Delta_{r_2}^2}{(x+R_2)^{r_2}} + \dots \dots \dots + \frac{\Delta_1^m}{x+R_m} + \dots + \frac{\Delta_{r_m}^m}{(x+R_m)^{r_m}}. \quad (3)$$

We see that the partial fractions coefficients in (1) must satisfy the constraint equation  $\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x+R_i)^{r_i}} = \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{r_\rho} \frac{\Delta_\ell^\rho}{(x+R_\rho)^\ell} \right]$ . Multiplying by the LHS product to cancel the denominator in the innermost RHS sum, we see that the constraint equation is equivalent to  $1 = \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{r_\rho} \left[ \Delta_\ell^\rho (x+R_\rho)^{r_\rho-\ell} \prod_{i=1, i \neq \rho}^m (x+R_i)^{r_i} \right] \right\}$  (with  $x \neq R_i$ ), which, upon factoring, becomes

$$1 = \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left\{ \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{r_\rho} \Delta_\ell^\rho (x+R_\rho)^{r_\rho-\ell} \right] \left[ \prod_{i=1, i \neq \rho}^m (x+R_i)^{r_i} \right] \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Binomial expansion of the sum yields  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{r_\rho} \Delta_\ell^\rho (x+R_\rho)^{r_\rho-\ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r_\rho} \Delta_\ell^\rho \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r_\rho-\ell} \binom{r_\rho-\ell}{j} R_\rho^{r_\rho-\ell-j} x^j \right)$ , which has an  $x^h$  coefficient  $\sigma(h, \rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{r_\rho-h} \Delta_k^\rho \binom{r_\rho-k}{h} R_\rho^{r_\rho-k-h}$ , where  $0 \leq h \leq r_\rho - 1$ .

Binomial expansion of the product yields  $\prod_{i=1, i \neq \rho}^m (x+R_i)^{r_i} = \prod_{i=1, i \neq \rho}^m \left( \sum_{e=0}^{r_i} \binom{r_i}{e} R_i^{r_i-e} x^e \right)$ , which has an  $x^\delta$  coefficient  $\lambda(\delta, \rho, m) = \sum_{\sum q_i = \delta, q_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left[ \prod_{i=1, i \neq \rho}^m \binom{r_i}{q_i} R_i^{r_i-q_i} \right]$ , where  $0 \leq \delta \leq \sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i$ .

Substitution of  $\sigma(h, \rho)$  and  $\lambda(\delta, \rho, m)$  in (2) yields

$$1 = \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left\{ \left[ \sum_{h=1}^{r_\rho-1} \sigma(h, \rho) x^h \right] \left[ \sum_{\delta=0}^{\sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i} \lambda(\delta, \rho, m) x^\delta \right] \right\}. \quad (5)$$

We see the  $x^\alpha$  coefficient of  $\left[ \sum_{h=1}^{r_\rho-1} \sigma(h, \rho, m) x^h \right] \left[ \sum_{\delta=0}^{\sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i} \lambda(\delta, \rho, m) x^\delta \right]$  is  $\sum_{\beta=0}^\alpha \sigma(\beta, \rho, m) \lambda(\alpha - \beta, \rho, m)$ , where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \sum_{\rho=1}^k r_\rho - 1$ .

Thus, (3) becomes

$$1 = \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i} \left[ \sum_{\beta=0}^\alpha \sigma(\beta, \rho) \lambda(\alpha - \beta, \rho, m) \right] x^\alpha \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Note that  $\sigma(h, \rho) = 0$  when  $h > r_\rho - 1$  and  $\lambda(\delta, \rho, m) = 0$  when  $\delta > \sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i$ , as they will be useful later.

Knowing that the RHS of (4) must equal 1 when  $\alpha = 0$  and must vanish when  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we write the system

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{\rho=1}^m [\lambda(0, \rho, m) \sigma(0, \rho)] \\ 0 &= \sum_{\rho=1}^m [\lambda(1, \rho, m) \sigma(0, \rho) + \lambda(0, \rho, m) \sigma(1, \rho)] \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &= \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left[ \lambda \left( \sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i - 1, \rho, m \right) \sigma(0, \rho) + \lambda \left( \sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i - 2, \rho, m \right) \sigma(1, \rho) + \dots + \lambda(0, \rho, m) \sigma \left( \sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i - 1, \rho, m \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

or as a matrix,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(0, 1, m) & \cdots & \lambda(0, m, m) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda(1, 1, m) & \cdots & \lambda(1, m, m) & \lambda(0, 1, m) & \cdots & \lambda(0, m, m) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda(\sum r_\rho - 1, 1, m) & \cdots & \lambda(\sum r_\rho - 1, m, m) & \lambda(\sum r_\rho - 2, 1, m) & \cdots & \lambda(\sum r_\rho - 2, m, m) & \cdots & \lambda(0, 1, m) & \cdots & \lambda(0, m, m) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(0, 1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(0, m) \\ \sigma(1, 1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(1, m) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(\sum r_\rho - 1, 1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(\sum r_\rho - 1, m) \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

or  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \sigma.$

We will now decompose  $\sigma$ : Let  $\varphi(\beta, \rho, k) = \binom{r_\rho - k}{\beta} R_\rho^{r_\rho - k - \beta}$ , so that  $\sigma(\beta, \rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{r_\rho - \beta} \varphi(\beta, \rho, k) \Delta_k^\rho$  (as evidenced by previous proof, note that  $\varphi(\beta, \rho, k) = 0$  when  $\beta > r_\rho - 1$ ). Then we have the system

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(0, 1) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r_1 - 0} \varphi(0, 1, k) \Delta_k^1 \\
&\vdots \\
\sigma(0, m) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r_m - 0} \varphi(0, m, k) \Delta_k^m \\
\sigma(1, 1) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r_1 - 1} \varphi(1, 1, k) \Delta_k^1 \\
&\vdots \\
\sigma(1, m) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r_m - 1} \varphi(1, m, k) \Delta_k^m \\
&\vdots \\
&\vdots \\
\sigma(\sum r_\rho - 1, 1) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r_1 - (\sum r_\rho - 1)} \varphi((\sum r_\rho - 1), 1, k) \Delta_k^1 \\
&\vdots \\
\sigma((\sum r_\rho - 1), m) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r_m - (\sum r_\rho - 1)} \varphi((\sum r_\rho - 1), m, k) \Delta_k^m
\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

or, as a matrix,

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(0, 1, 1) & \cdots & \varphi(0, 1, r_1) & - & - & 0 & - \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \\ - & 0 & - & - & \varphi(0, m, 1) & \cdots & \varphi(0, m, r_m) \\ \varphi(1, 1, 1) & \cdots & \varphi(1, 1, r_1) & - & - & 0 & - \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \\ - & 0 & - & - & \varphi(1, m, 1) & \cdots & \varphi(0, m, r_m) \\ & & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi((\sum r_\rho - 1), 1, 1) & \cdots & \varphi((\sum r_\rho - 1), 1, r_1) & - & - & 0 & - \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \\ - & 0 & - & - & \varphi((\sum r_\rho - 1), m, 1) & \cdots & \varphi((\sum r_\rho - 1), m, r_m) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1^1 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{r_1}^1 \\ \Delta_2^1 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{r_2}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_1^m \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{r_m}^m \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

or  $\sigma = \varphi\Delta$ . Then we have  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda\varphi\Delta$ . Let  $\mathcal{J} = \lambda\varphi$ , so that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{J}\Delta$ . We see that

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(0,1,m) \\ * \varphi(0,1,r_1) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(0,2,m) \\ * \varphi(0,2,r_2) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(0,m,m) \\ * \varphi(0,m,r_m) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(1,1,m) \\ * \varphi(0,1,r_1) \\ + \lambda(0,1,m) \\ * \varphi(1,1,r_1) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(1,2,m) \\ * \varphi(0,2,r_2) \\ + \lambda(0,2,m) \\ * \varphi(1,2,r_2) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(1,m,m) \\ * \varphi(0,m,r_m) \\ + \lambda(0,m,m) \\ * \varphi(1,m,r_m) \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}), 1, m) \\ * \varphi(0,1,r_1) \\ + \lambda((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}), 1, m) \\ * \varphi(1,1,r_1) \\ + \cdots \\ + \lambda(0,1,m) \\ * \varphi((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}), 1, r_1) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}), 2, m) \\ * \varphi(0,2,r_2) \\ + \lambda((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}), 2, m) \\ * \varphi(1,2,r_2) \\ + \cdots \\ + \lambda(0,2,m) \\ * \varphi((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}), 2, r_2) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}), m, m) \\ * \varphi(0,m,r_m) \\ + \lambda((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}), m, m) \\ * \varphi(1,m,r_m) \\ + \cdots \\ + \lambda(0,m,m) \\ * \varphi((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}), m, r_m) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

or, more compactly,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho-1} \lambda(0-\ell, 1, m) \\ * \varphi(\ell, 1, r_1) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho-1} \lambda(0-\ell, 2, m) \\ * \varphi(\ell, 2, r_2) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho-1} \lambda(0-\ell, m, m) \\ * \varphi(\ell, m, r_m) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho-1} \lambda(1-\ell, 1, m) \\ * \varphi(\ell, 1, r_1) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho-1} \lambda(1-\ell, 2, m) \\ * \varphi(\ell, 2, r_2) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho-1} \lambda(1-\ell, m, m) \\ * \varphi(\ell, m, r_m) \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho-1} \lambda((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}) - \ell, 1, m) \\ * \varphi(\ell, 1, r_1) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho-1} \lambda((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}) - \ell, 2, m) \\ * \varphi(\ell, 2, r_2) \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho-1} \lambda((\sum_{\rho=1}^{\rho-1} r_{\rho-1}) - \ell, m, m) \\ * \varphi(\ell, m, r_m) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

Recalling that  $\varphi(\beta, \rho, k) = 0$  when  $\beta > r_{\rho} - 1$  and  $\lambda(\beta, \rho, m) = 0$  when  $\beta > \sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i$ , and letting  $\ell_{\min}(\rho, y) = \max\{0, y - \sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i\}$  and  $\ell_{\max}(\rho) = r_{\rho} - 1$ , we see that  $\mathcal{J}$  simplifies to



Let

$$\mathcal{J}(y, x, \rho, k) = \sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}(\rho, y)}^x \lambda(y - \ell, \rho, m) \varphi(\ell, \rho, k) \quad (14)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=\max\{0, y-\sum_{i \neq \rho} r_i\}}^x \left\{ \left[ \sum_{q_i=y-\ell, q_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left( \prod_{i=1, i \neq \rho}^m \binom{r_i}{q_i} R_i^{r_i - q_i} \right) \right] \left[ \binom{r_\rho - k}{\ell} R_\rho^{r_\rho - k - \ell} \right] \right\}. \quad (15)$$

Then we may write

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 1, 1) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 1, r_1) & \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 2, 1) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 2, r_2) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}(0, 0, m, 1) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}(0, 0, m, r_m) \\ \mathcal{J}(1, 1, 1, 1) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}(1, 1, 1, r_1) & \mathcal{J}(1, 1, 2, 1) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}(1, 1, 2, r_2) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}(1, 1, m, 1) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}(1, 1, m, r_m) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\ell_{\max}(m)}{\ell_{\max}(m), m, 1}\right) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\ell_{\max}(m)}{\ell_{\max}(m), m, r_m}\right) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\ell_{\max}(2)}{\ell_{\max}(2), 2, 1}\right) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\ell_{\max}(2)}{\ell_{\max}(2), 2, r_2}\right) & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\ell_{\max}(1)}{\ell_{\max}(1), 1, 1}\right) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\ell_{\max}(1)}{\ell_{\max}(1), 1, r_1}\right) & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{\max}(1), 1, 1}\right) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{\max}(1), 1, r_1}\right) & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{\max}(2), 2, 1}\right) & & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{\max}(2), 2, r_2}\right) & & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{\max}(m), m, 1}\right) & \cdots & \mathcal{J}\left(\binom{\sum r_\rho - 1}{\ell_{\max}(m), m, r_m}\right) \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Because partial fractions decomposition has been shown to be unique, we know  $\mathcal{J}$  must be invertible.

Thus,  $\Delta = \mathcal{J}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , which is the first column of  $\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ . But we also know that  $\mathcal{J}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{J}} \text{cof}(\mathcal{J})^T$ ,

so  $\Delta = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{J}} \cdot \text{first column of } \text{cof}(\mathcal{J})^T = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{J}} \cdot [\text{first row of } \text{cof}(\mathcal{J})]^T$ . So then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1^1 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{r_1}^1 \\ \Delta_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{r_2}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_1^m \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{r_m}^m \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{J}} \begin{bmatrix} \text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 1, 1) \\ \vdots \\ \text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 1, r_1) \\ \text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 2, 1) \\ \vdots \\ \text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 2, r_2) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, m, 1) \\ \vdots \\ \text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, m, r_m) \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

So then

$$\Delta_k^\rho = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{J}} \text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, \rho, k). \quad (18)$$

Thus,

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{J}} \left( \frac{\text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 1, 1)}{x + R_1} + \dots + \frac{\text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 1, r_1)}{(x + R_1)^{r_1}} + \frac{\text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 2, 1)}{x + R_2} + \dots + \frac{\text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, 2, r_2)}{(x + R_2)^{r_2}} + \dots \dots \dots + \frac{\text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, m, 1)}{x + R_m} + \dots + \frac{\text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, m, r_m)}{(x + R_m)^{r_m}} \right).$$

$$\text{or more compactly, } a(x) = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{J}} \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{r_\rho} \frac{\text{cof } \mathcal{J}(0, 0, \rho, k)}{(x + R_\rho)^k} \right].$$

## 2.2 Lemma 2

$$\frac{x^m}{(x+a)^\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-\alpha} \binom{m-1-i}{\alpha-1} (-a)^{m-\alpha-i} x^i + \sum_{i=\max\{\alpha-m, 1\}}^{\alpha} \frac{\binom{m}{\alpha-i} (-a)^{m-\alpha+i}}{(x+a)^i} \quad (20)$$

$\forall m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $x \neq -a$ , and where the binomial coefficients are taken to be 0 where they are otherwise undefined.

**Proof:** We proceed by double induction, abbreviating the above as  $P(m, \alpha)$ .

*First Base Case:* We prove  $P(1, 1)$  as the basis for the first induction:

$$\frac{x}{x+a} = \sum_{i=0}^0 \binom{-i}{0} (-a)^{-i} x^i + \sum_{i=\max\{0, 1\}} \frac{\binom{1}{1-i} (-a)^i}{(x+a)^i} = 1 + \frac{-a}{x+a} = \frac{x}{x+a}. \quad (21)$$

*First Inductive Step:* Assume  $P(m, 1)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show that  $P(m+1, 1)$  follows. Using  $P(m, 1)$ , we write  $\frac{x^{m+1}}{x+a} = xp(m, 1) + xf(m, 1)$ . But we can also see that  $p(m, 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1-i}{0} (-a)^{m-1-i} x^i$  and  $f(m, 1) = \frac{(-a)^m}{x+a}$ . Substituting, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x^{m+1}}{x+a} &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1-i}{0} (-a)^{m-1-i} x^{i+1} + \frac{(-a)^m x}{x+a} = \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{m-1}{0} (-a)^{m-i} x^i + (-a)^m + \frac{(-a)^{m+1}}{x+a} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m-i}{0} (-a)^{m-i} x^i + \frac{(-a)^{m+1}}{x+a} = p(m+1, 1) + f(m+1, 1) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

*First Inductive Conclusion (Second Base Case):* We have proven  $P(1, 1)$  and shown  $P(m, 1) \implies P(m+1, 1)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $P(m, 1) \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Second Inductive Step:* Assume  $P(m, \alpha)$  for  $m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show that  $P(m, \alpha+1)$  follows. Using  $P(m, \alpha)$ , we write  $\frac{x^m}{(x+a)^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{p(m, \alpha)}{x+a} + \frac{f(m, \alpha)}{x+a}$ . We see that

$$\frac{f(m, \alpha)}{x+a} = \sum_{i=\max\{\alpha-m, 1\}}^{\alpha} \frac{\binom{m}{\alpha-i} (-a)^{m-\alpha+i}}{(x+a)^{i+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\binom{m}{\alpha-i} (-a)^{m-\alpha+i}}{(x+a)^i} \quad (\text{introducing 0 terms}) \quad (23)$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{\alpha+1} \frac{\binom{m}{\alpha+1-i} (-a)^{m-\alpha-1+i}}{(x+a)^i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha+1} \frac{\binom{m}{\alpha+1-i} (-a)^{m-\alpha-1+i}}{(x+a)^i} - \frac{\binom{m}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha}}{x+a} \quad (24)$$

$$= f(m, \alpha+1) - \frac{\binom{m}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha}}{x+a} \quad (\text{removing 0 terms}) \quad (25)$$



Using Pascal's identity we see  $\binom{m-1-i}{\alpha-1} = \binom{m-i}{\alpha} - \binom{m-1-i}{\alpha}$ , so

$$p(m, \alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-\alpha} \binom{m-i}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha-i} x^i - \sum_{i=0}^{m-\alpha} \binom{m-1-i}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha-i} x^i \quad (26)$$

$$= \sum_{i=-1}^{m-\alpha-1} \binom{m-1-i}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha-1-i} + a \sum_{i=0}^{m-\alpha} \binom{m-1-i}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha-1-i} x^i \quad (27)$$

$$= \binom{m}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha} + x \sum_{i=0}^{m-\alpha-1} \binom{m-1-i}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha-1-i} x^i + a \binom{\alpha-1}{\alpha} (-a)^{-1} x^{m-\alpha} + a \sum_{i=0}^{m-\alpha-1} \binom{m-1-i}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha-1-i} x^i \quad (28)$$

$$= \binom{m}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha} + (x+a)p(m, \alpha+1) \quad (29)$$

Substituting in our first equation, we see

$$\frac{x^m}{(x+a)^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{\binom{m}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha} + (x+a)p(m, \alpha+1)}{x+a} + f(m, \alpha+1) - \frac{\binom{m}{\alpha} (-a)^{m-\alpha}}{x+a} = p(m, \alpha+1) + f(m, \alpha+1) \quad (30)$$

*Second Inductive Conclusion:* We have proven  $P(m, 1)$  and shown  $P(m, \alpha) \implies P(m, \alpha+1)$  for  $m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $P(m, \alpha) \forall m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### 3 Proof

Let  $F(x) = \frac{N(x)}{D(x)} = \frac{N_0 + N_1x + N_2x^2 + \dots + N_nx^n}{D_0 + D_1x + D_2x^2 + \dots + D_dx^d}$  where every numerator coefficient  $N$  and denominator coefficient  $D$  is real. By the fundamental theorem of algebra, we see that  $D(x) = (x + R_1)^{r_1} (x + R_2)^{r_2} \dots (x + R_m)^{r_m} = \prod_{i=1}^m (x + R_i)^{r_i}$  for some complex roots  $-R$ . By Lemma 1,  $F(x) = \frac{N(x)}{\det \mathcal{J}} \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{r_\rho} \frac{\text{cof } \mathcal{J}_{(0,0,\rho,k)}}{(x+R_\rho)^k} \right]$ .

By Lemma 2, we have

-----  
(BEGIN FIXING HERE)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x^m}{(x+R_1)^{r_1} (x+R_2)^{r_2} \dots (x+R_k)^{r_k}} &= \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{r_\rho} \Delta_\ell^\rho \frac{x^m}{(x+R_\rho)^\ell} \right] \quad (31) \\ &= \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{r_\rho} \Delta_\ell^\rho \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m-1-i}{\ell-1} (-R_\rho)^{m-\ell-i} x^i + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{\binom{m}{\ell-i} (-R_\rho)^{m-\ell+i}}{(x+R_\rho)^i} \right] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding and regrouping, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{r_\rho} \Delta_\ell^\rho \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m-1-i}{\ell-1} (-R_\rho)^{m-\ell-i} x^i \right] \right] &= \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{\zeta=0}^{m-1} \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-\zeta} \Delta_\ell^\rho \binom{m-1-\zeta}{\ell-1} (-R_\rho)^{m-\zeta-\ell} \right] x^\zeta \right\} \quad (32) \\ &= \sum_{\zeta=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-\zeta} \Delta_\ell^\rho \binom{m-1-\zeta}{\ell-1} (-R_\rho)^{m-\zeta-\ell} \right] \right\} x^\zeta \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\sum_{\rho=1}^k \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{r_\rho} \Delta_\ell^\rho \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{\binom{m}{\ell-i} (-R_\rho)^{m-\ell+i}}{(x+R_\rho)^i} \right] \right] = \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{\zeta=1}^{r_\rho} \frac{\left[ \sum_{\ell=\zeta}^{r_\rho} \Delta_\ell^\rho \binom{m}{\ell-1} (-R_\rho)^{m+1-\ell} \right]}{(x+R_\rho)^\zeta} \right\} \quad (33)$$

so then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x^m}{(x+R_1)^{r_1}(x+R_2)^{r_2}\cdots(x+R_k)^{r_k}} &= \sum_{\zeta=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-\zeta} \Delta_{\ell}^{\rho} \binom{m-1-\zeta}{\ell-1} (-R_{\rho})^{m-\zeta-\ell} \right] \right\} x^{\zeta} \\ &+ \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{\zeta=1}^{r_{\rho}} \frac{\left[ \sum_{\ell=s}^{r_{\rho}} \Delta_{\ell}^{\rho} \binom{m}{\ell-1} (-R_{\rho})^{m+1-\ell} \right]}{(x+R_{\rho})^{\zeta}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \frac{\sum_{m=0}^n N_m x^m}{(x+R_1)^{r_1}(x+R_2)^{r_2}\cdots(x+R_k)^{r_k}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n N_m \left\{ \sum_{\zeta=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-\zeta} \Delta_{\ell}^{\rho} \binom{m-1-\zeta}{\ell-1} (-R_{\rho})^{m-\zeta-\ell} \right] \right\} x^{\zeta} \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{m=0}^n N_m \left\{ \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{\zeta=1}^{r_{\rho}} \frac{\left[ \sum_{\ell=s}^{r_{\rho}} \Delta_{\ell}^{\rho} \binom{m}{\ell-1} (-R_{\rho})^{m+1-\ell} \right]}{(x+R_{\rho})^{\zeta}} \right\} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and after expanding and regrouping we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \sum_{\zeta=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^n N_m \left\{ \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-\zeta} \Delta_{\ell}^{\rho} \binom{m-1-\zeta}{\ell-1} (-R_{\rho})^{m-\zeta-\ell} \right] \right\} x^{\zeta} \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{\rho=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{\zeta=1}^{r_{\rho}} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^n N_m \left[ \sum_{\ell=s}^{r_{\rho}} \Delta_{\ell}^{\rho} \binom{m}{\ell-1} (-R_{\rho})^{m+1-\ell} \right]}{(x+R_{\rho})^{\zeta}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

## 4 Conclusion

(Insert conclusion)

## 5 References

(insert references)